UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK							
YANET CORDOVA,	Case No. COMPLAINT						
Plaintiff,	COMI LAIM						
-against-	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY						
GKN AEROSPACE MONITOR, INC.							
Defendant.							
- -							

Plaintiff, YANET CORDOVA, by her attorneys, PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES, PLLC, hereby complains of the Defendant, upon information and belief, as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff CORDOVA brings this action charging that Defendant violated <u>Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</u>, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*. ("Title VII") and seeks damages to redress the injuries she has suffered as a result of being subjected to gender discrimination (sexual harassment) and retaliation by the Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is proper under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), based upon the fact that the claims alleged herein took place within the Eastern District of New York.

PROCEDURAL PREREQUISITES

- 4. Plaintiff filed charges of discrimination upon which this Complaint is based with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 5. Plaintiff received Notices of the Right to Sue from the EEOC on March 11, 2016 with respect

- to the herein charges of discrimination. A copy of the Notices is annexed hereto.
- 6. This Action is being commenced within 90 days of receipt of said Notices.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff YANET CORDOVA (hereinafter "CORDOVA") is a resident of the State of New York, Suffolk County.
- 8. Defendant GKN AEROSPACE MONITOR, INC. (hereinafter "GKN") is a domestic business corporation, with its principal executive office located at 550 Warrenville Road, Lisle, Illinois 60532.
- At all times relevant, Defendant GKN owned and/or operated a manufacturing facility located at 1000 New Horizons Blvd, Amityville, New York 11701, where the discriminatory conduct referred to herein took place.
- At all times material, Plaintiff CORDOVA was an employee of Defendant GKN, holding the position of Assembly Worker.

MATERIAL FACTS

- 11. Defendant GKN hired Plaintiff CORDOVA as an Assembly Worker on or about October 18, 2004. At present, Plaintiff's yearly salary is approximately \$40,000.00.
- 12. A couple weeks into her employment, GKN employee, Leadman Freddy Chuquilin, began subjecting Plaintiff CORDOVA to gender discrimination (sexual harassment).
- 13. At all times relevant, Mr. Chuquilin was Plaintiff's supervisor and/or exercised supervisory authority over Plaintiff. Mr. Chuquilin had the authority to hire, terminate, and/or affect the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 14. Shortly after Plaintiff began her employment, Mr. Chuquilin consistently followed her around and attempted to engage her in conversation unrelated to work.

- 15. Thereafter, in January, 2005, Mr. Chuquilin began asking Plaintiff to go out on dates. For instance, he frequently asked her to go out for coffee. Plaintiff declined these invitations.
- 16. In May, 2005, Mr. Chuquilin appeared at Plaintiff's home under the guise of discussing work-related matters. However, Mr. Chuquilin actually came to Plaintiff's home to ask her out on a date and give her a romantic CD. Plaintiff promptly told Mr. Chuquilin to get off of her property and not to give her romantic CD's.
- 17. Despite her admonition, Mr. Chuquilin disregarded Plaintiff's directive and showed up uninvited at her home on three subsequent occasions.
- 18. On his third appearance, in or around June, 2005, Mr. Chuquilin grabbed Plaintiff's arm and tried to kiss her on the lips. Plaintiff pushed him away and told him she was going to call the police. Mr. Chuquilin begged Plaintiff not to do so because he did not "have papers." He then promised to leave her alone.
- 19. However, the next day at work, Mr. Chuquilin resumed his sexual harassment of Plaintiff CORDOVA by inviting her on a date to go out for coffee. Once again, Plaintiff implored Mr. Chuquilin to cease his unlawful conduct.
- 20. Throughout 2005, Plaintiff repeatedly complained to Manager Nelson Bonilla about Mr. Chuquilin's unrelenting sexual harassment, to no avail. Mr. Bonilla merely assured Plaintiff that "it will pass." He did nothing to remedy Plaintiff's hostile work environment.
- 21. Undeterred, Mr. Chuquilin began touching Plaintiff in 2006. Almost daily, Mr. Chuquilin touched Plaintiff's arms and/or back, constantly walking passed her so that he could caress her. When Plaintiff complained to Mr. Bonilla, he laughed in response.
- 22. Moreover, whenever Plaintiff rejected Mr. Chuquilin's sexual advances, he would refer to her by derogatory names, including "prostitute." He also repeatedly called her "dirty" and

- "easy."
- 23. In 2007, Plaintiff discovered her work instruments covered with pubic hair. Co-workers informed Plaintiff that they had observed Mr. Chuquilin near Plaintiff's work-station. Plaintiff promptly reported this incident to both Mr. Bonilla and supervisor Louis Montes. Upon information and belief, this incident was never investigated by GKN.
- 24. Plaintiff escalated her complaints of sexual harassment to Richie Valerie of Human Resources on multiple occasions throughout 2007 and 2008, but Mr. Chuquilin continued to sexually harass Plaintiff without an apparent repercussions.
- 25. In fact, Mr. Chuquilin consistently stared at Plaintiff in a lewd manner, which made her feel extremely uncomfortable.
- 26. Throughout 2013 and 2014, Mr. Chuquilin began taking advantage of his authority to oversee Plaintiff's work product by touching Plaintiff's hair, neck, and/or shoulders. This severe form of sexual harassment occurred almost every day.
- 27. By way of further example, in December, 2014, Mr. Chuquilin told Plaintiff her hair was "so beautiful" and suddenly grabbed her shoulder and attempted to kiss her near her mouth.

 Plaintiff was horrified and pushed Mr. Chuquilin.
- 28. Plaintiff complained to Mr. Montes about the above-mentioned conduct throughout 2013 and 2014. At this point, however, Mr. Montes appeared to simply accept Mr. Chuquilin's unlawful behavior. In response to one of Plaintiff's complaints, he asked her, "What do you want me to do about it?"
- 29. Mr. Chuquilin again attempted to kiss Plaintiff on the lips in January, 2015. Once again, she directed him not to touch her and pushed him away.
- 30. In addition to complaining to Manager Fred Crane on a few occasions, Plaintiff

- CORDOVA telephoned Defendant GKN's hotline to report Mr. Chuquilin's sexual harassment.
- 31. Upon information and belief, GKN finally performed an investigation into Mr. Chuquilin's conduct.
- 32. However, Plaintiff is not aware of any disciplinary action that has been taken against Chuquilin.
- 33. Moreover, Mr. Chuquilin continues to stare at Plaintiff, causing her to be uncomfortable at work.
- 34. To date, Defendant GKN has failed to adequately remedy the hostile work environment established by Mr. Chuquilin.
- 35. In fact, Plaintiff has suffered retaliation for her complaints of sexual harassment.
- 36. By way of example, she has received multiple write-ups from Mr. Chuquilin himself as well as from Mr. Montes (a friend of Mr. Chuquilin).
- 37. The foregoing constitute only some of the discriminatory and/or retaliatory acts to which Plaintiff was subjected.
- 38. Plaintiff was unlawfully treated, humiliated, degraded, victimized and embarrassed and, as a result, suffers loss of rights, emotional distress and/or physical ailments and injuries.
- 39. As a result of the acts and conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff CORDOVA has suffered and will continue to suffer emotional pain, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses.
- 40. Defendant's conduct has been malicious, willful, outrageous, and conducted with full knowledge of the law. As such, Plaintiff demands punitive damages as against the Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE VII

- 41. Plaintiff CORDOVA repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 42. This claim is authorized and instituted pursuant to the provisions of Title VII for relief based upon the unlawful employment practices of the Defendant. Plaintiff complains of Defendant's violation of Title VII's prohibition against discrimination in employment based, in whole or in part, upon an employee's gender (sexual harassment).
- 43. Defendant GKN engaged in unlawful employment practices prohibited by Title VII by discriminating against Plaintiff CORDOVA because of her gender (sexual harassment).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RETALIATION UNDER TITLE VII

- 44. Plaintiff CORDOVA repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 45. Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), provides that it shall be unlawful employment practice for an employer "(1) to . . . discriminate against any of his employees . . . because [s]he has opposed any practice made an unlawful employment practice by this subchapter, or because [s]he has made a charge, testified, assisted or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this subchapter."
- 46. Defendant GKN engaged in unlawful employment practice prohibited by Title VII by discriminating against Plaintiff CORDOVA with respect to the terms, conditions and/or privileges of employment because of her opposition to gender discrimination (sexual harassment).

JURY DEMAND

47. Plaintiff CORDOVA hereby requests a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff CORDOVA respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant:

- A. Declaring that Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices prohibited by Title VII in that Defendant subjected Plaintiff CORDOVA to gender discrimination (sexual harassment) and retaliation;
- B. Awarding Plaintiff CORDOVA compensatory damages for mental, emotional and/or physical injury, distress, pain and suffering and injury to reputation;
- C. Awarding Plaintiff CORDOVA punitive damages;
- D. Awarding Plaintiff CORDOVA attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the prosecution of this action;
- E. Awarding Plaintiff CORDOVA such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable, just and proper to remedy the Defendant's unlawful employment practices.

Dated: New York, New York June 3, 2016

> PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PLLC

Joshua P. Frank, Esq. Dorina Cela, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

45 Broadway, Suite 620

New York, NY 10006

(212) 248-7431 (telephone)

jfrank@tpglaws.com dcela@tpglaws.com

. ø (11/09)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To:	884 S	Cordova outh 5th Street nhurst, NY 11757	1	From:	New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street 5th Floor New York, NY 10004		
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))					
EEO	C Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative		· Telephone No.		
			Jeanette Jimenez,				
846	-2015-3	35189	Investigator		(212) 336-3761		
Title Act (been of yo	VII of the GINA): issued our rece	This is your Notice of Right to Sue, at your request. Your lawsuit unde	mericans with Disabilities Act (issued under Title VII, the ADA or Title VII, the ADA or GINA mus	(ADA), or GINA	or the Genetic Information enclosed with this form.) or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination based on the above-numbered charge. It has ed in a federal or state court WITHIN 90 DAYS the time limit for filing suit based on a claim under		
		More than 180 days have passed	since the filing of this charge.				
	X	•	since the filing of this charge, bu	t I have from the	e determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will e filing of this charge.		
		The EEOC is terminating its proce					
	X						
Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA at any time from 60 days after the charge was filed until 90 days after you receive notice that we have completed action on the charge. In this regard, the paragraph marked below applies to your case: The EEOC is closing your case. Therefore, your lawsuit under the ADEA must be filed in federal or state court WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue based on the above-numbered charge will be lost.							
		The EEOC is continuing its handli you may file suit in federal or state	ing of your ADEA case. Howeve e court under the ADEA at this tir	r, if 60 ne.	days have passed since the filing of the charge,		
in fac	iaral or s	ct (EPA): You already have the right state court within 2 years (3 years for his that occurred more than 2 year	r wilitui violations) oi the alleged c	CA UIK	charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought derpayment. This means that backpay due for not be collectible.		
If you	ı file suit	, based on this charge, please send	a copy of your court complaint to	this off	ice.		
			On behalf of t	he Con	nmission		
			This (7	Serge MAR 1 1 2016		
Enc	losures	(s)	Kevin J. Ber District Direct		(Date Mailed)		
cc:	(GKN AEROSPACE MONITOR, INC :/o Allison E. lanni, Esq. Ogletree Deakins	· ·	PHILIP	a P. Frank, Esq. S & ASSOCIATES adway		

1745 Broadway 22nd Floor New York, NY 10019

Suite 620 New York, NY 10006

:o1-B (11/09)

22nd Floor

New York, NY 10019

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

То:	884 Sc	Cordova outh 5th Street nhurst, NY 11757		From:	New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street 5th Floor New York, NY 10004	
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))				
EEO	C Charge	No.	EEOC Representative		· Te	lephone No.
			Jeanette Jimenez,			
520	-2016-0	0931	Investigator			12) 336-3761
			(S	ee also	the additional information e	nclosed with this form.,
Act (been of yo	GINA): issued a our recei	re Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Ar This is your Notice of Right to Sue, at your request. Your lawsuit unde pt of this notice; or your right to so to be different.)	issued under Title VII, the ADA r Title VII, the ADA or GINA mu ue based on this charge will be	or GINA st be fil	A based on the above-numb ed in a federal or state co	ered charge. It has urt WITHIN 90 DAYS
		More than 180 days have passed				
	X	Less than 180 days have passed be able to complete its administra	since the filing of this charge, b tive processing within 180 days	ut I have from the	e determined that it is unlike e filing of this charge.	ly that the EEOC will
		The EEOC is terminating its proce	essing of this charge.			
	X	The EEOC will continue to proces	s this charge.			
90 da	Discrim ays after case:	ination in Employment Act (ADE you receive notice that we have confident that we have confident to the EEOC is closing your case. 90 DAYS of your receipt of this	ompleted action on the charge. Therefore, your lawsuit under the	in this re	egard, the paragraph mair	r state court <u>WITHIN</u>
		The EEOC is continuing its handl you may file suit in federal or stat	ing of your ADEA case. Howeve court under the ADEA at this	er, if 60 time.	days have passed since the	e filing of the charge,
in for	daral or s	ct (EPA): You already have the right state court within 2 years (3 years fo his that occurred more than 2 years	r willful violations) of the alleged	EPA UII	delpayment. This means th	A suits must be brough at backpay due for
If you	u file suit	, based on this charge, please send	a copy of your court complaint t	o this of	fice.	
			On behalf of	the Cor	nmission	
			Kenin	0	Bernan	MAR 1 1 2016
End	closures		Kevin J. F District Dire			(Date Mailed)
cc:		GKN AEROSPACE MONITOR, IN c/o Allison E. lanni, Esq. Ogletree Deakins 1745 Broadway		PHILIF	a P. Frank, Esq. PS & ASSOCIATES padway 520	

New York, NY 10006